



Published by

The Higher Education Quality Council of Ontario

1 Yonge Street, Suite 2402 Toronto, ON Canada, M5E 1E5

Phone: (416) 212-3893
Fax: (416) 212-3899
Web: www.heqco.ca
E-mail: info@heqco.ca

Cite this publication in the following format:

Dawson, D., Borin, P., Meadows, K., Britnell, J., Olsen, K., & McIntyre, G. (2014). *Appendices: The Impact of the Instructional Skill Workshop on Faculty Approaches to Teaching.* Toronto: Higher Education Quality Council of Ontario.



The opinions expressed in this research document are those of the authors and do not necessarily represent the views or official policies of the Higher Education Quality Council of Ontario or other agencies or organizations that may have provided support, financial or otherwise, for this project. © Queens Printer for Ontario, 2014

Table of Contents

Appendices	Error! Bookmark not defined.
Appendix A – Description of the Instructional Skills	s Workshop3
Appendix B – E-mail Invitation to Survey Participa	nts4
Appendix C – Participant Survey	5
Appendix D – Additional Demographic Information	10
Appendix E – Focus Group Framing, Interview Qu and Demographic Questionnaire	restions11
Appendix F – Supplemental Tables	14

Appendix A – Description of the Instructional Skills Workshop

The ISW program is designed to facilitate the development of instructors towards a student-centred approach to teaching that leads to deep learning in students and to superior learning outcomes (Trigwell & Prosser, 2004; Trigwell, 2010). The format of the ISW includes a structured mini-lesson and provides guided practice in critical aspects of effective teaching. This includes writing lesson plans with clear objectives across a variety of learning domains, and developing activities to engage participants. In small peer groups, individuals design and conduct three short lessons and receive peer-led feedback. Within the small groups of typically four to six individuals, peers act as students and provide feedback to the instructor in a wide variety of formats. As Macpherson (2011) suggests, it is this combination of practice via the lesson, opportunities for reflection and peer feedback that is essential for transformative teaching to occur. Additional large group activities focus on topics such as learning outcomes, active learning, learning styles, large class teaching and assessment. Throughout the workshop, the focus is on participatory learning. Although the workshop always provides an overview of the BOPPPS teaching framework (Bridge, Objective, Pre-test, Participation, Posttest, Summary), learning styles and student engagement techniques, the other topics covered in the large group sessions will vary depending upon the needs of the group. Macpherson (2011) states that a strength of the ISW is that peers facilitate the sessions in a co-learning model. Postareff et al. (2007) suggest that when teachers view their role as facilitators, they are more likely to adopt a student-centred approach in the classroom. Peers then model a facilitative approach to teaching rather than adopting an expert teacher approach in the ISW.

Thus, the workshops have core components but are not prescriptive, and are facilitated by a wide variety of instructors from many different disciplines and teaching perspectives. Nonetheless, the developers of the ISW see these differences from session to session and group to group as one of the strengths of the ISW (Day, 2004; Macpherson, 2011). They suggest that instructors who engage deeply in reflective practices for several days and are given the opportunity to receive and provide feedback on mini-lessons will have their own learning transformed and, as a result, develop a more student-centred approach to teaching. The ISW format does not presume that there is one right way to teach (Macpherson, 2011) and, therefore, it assumes that instructors may vary in their approach, depending on who they are and what they teach. As such, the feedback provided by peers after the mini-lessons tends to take a more appreciative approach to instruction, building on instructors' strengths rather than looking simply for the faults in the lesson (Macpherson, 2011).

Appendix B – E-mail Invitation to Survey Participants

Dear faculty member,

On behalf of the Teaching Support Centre at Western University, and the Learning and Teaching Office at Ryerson University, I would like to invite you to participate in a research study funded by the Higher Education Quality Council of Ontario (HEQCO). In the study, we are studying the effectiveness of the Instructional Skills Workshop.

If you agree to participate, you will be asked to complete a short online survey about your approaches and perspectives on teaching. If you choose to complete this survey, we will also ask you to complete a similar follow-up survey in approximately four months. You will be sent another email invitation at that time. You may also be contacted to invite you to participate in a follow-up focus group in approximately four to six months' time regarding your experiences with teaching.

We would like your permission to use those assessments as part of a research study, the results of which would be shared with colleagues at academic conferences and/or in academic publications. Your responses will be kept confidential. Participation is voluntary. You may refuse to participate, refuse to answer any questions, or withdraw from the study at any time with no effect on your participation in the workshop. If the results of the study are published, your name will not be used and no information that discloses your identity will be released or published. There are no known risks to participating in the study.

Completion and submission of the online survey indicates your consent to participate.

If you have any questions about the conduct of this study or your rights as a research participant, you may contact the Office of Research Ethics at 519.661.3036 or ethics@uwo.ca. If you have questions, comments, or concerns regarding this study, or would like further information about this study, please feel free to contact the investigators at 519.661-2111, ext. 84621, or via e-mail: dldawson@uwo.ca

If you would like feedback regarding the results of this study, a report containing summary information will be available at www.heqco.ca upon completion of the project.

You may save or print this email for future reference. Thank you for considering participating in this study.

The survey will take about 10 minutes to complete. If you are willing to complete the survey please click on the link below:

[hyperlink to the web survey]

Sincerely,

Dr. Debra Dawson, Director, Teaching Support Centre, Western University Professor Judy Britnell, Faculty of Community Services, Lead Special Projects, Ryerson University

Appendix C – Participant Survey¹

Research Study: Survey on Approaches to Teaching

The survey will take about 15 minutes to complete. Upon completion of the survey, you are invited to enter a draw for one of two Apple iPads (approximate value \$549). One draw will take place in January 2012 for participants who complete the surveys in 2011 and one draw will occur in January 2013 for participants who complete the surveys in 2012.

For the purposes of being able to pair your answers on the first and second survey, we ask that you provide a unique identifier that will not identify you as an individual. Please input in the space below the last two digits of

your phone number, the first and last letter of your family name, and the two digit number correspon birth month.	ding to
For example: 519-661-12 <u>34</u> Kate <u>S</u> mit <u>H</u> <u>05</u> (May) Unique identifier: 34SH05	
Unique Identifier:	
1) The Instructional Skills Workshop (ISW) is a 24 hour instructor development program offer post-secondary institutions.	ed at
Please select one of the following responses to describe your participation in this program:	
 I have never enrolled in the Instructional Skills Workshop. I am currently enrolled in or I am about to begin taking the Instructional Skills Workshop. I completed an Instructional Skills Workshop approximately four months ago. Other, please describe If you have chosen "other", please specify	
Demographic Information	
2) Gender: Male Female	

¹ Same survey used for Time 1 and Time 2; same survey used for experimental and control groups.

3) University/College: Ryerson University The University of Western Ontario University of Windsor Georgian College Other:
If you have chosen "other", please specify
4) Please state your department or faculty/school:
5) Please indicate your current job title: Graduate Student Lecturer Instructor Assistant Professor Associate Professor Full Professor Other
If you have chosen "other", please specify
6) How much teaching experience do you have as a faculty member?
 None Less than 1 year to 4 years 5 to 9 years 10 to 14 years 15 to 19 years 20 to 24 years 25 to 29 years 30+ years
7) What kind of appointment do you have? Tenured faculty (University) Tenure track (University) Contract/Sessional (University) Other

8) Have you participated in the following teaching-related activities during your time in graduate school or throughout your academic career?

Ple	ase check yes or no for each activity.	Vaa	Na
a.	Experience as a graduate teaching assistant (grading assignments, conducting seminar(s), lecture(s) or lab(s))	Yes □	No
b.	Graduate teaching assistant workshop(s)		
C.	Graduate teaching assistant workshop(s) Graduate student course(s) in teaching and learning	H	H
d.	Workshop(s) on teaching	H	H
e.	Course(s) on teaching	H	H
f.	Conference(s) on teaching	H	H
g.	Individual teaching consultation(s)	H	H
h.	Special lectures on teaching	H	H
i.	K-12 teacher education training (B.Ed. or equivalent)	H	H
j.	Other teaching certificate(s)/certification(s)	H	Ħ
k.	Informal discussions with peers about teaching	H	H
l.	Informal discussions with staff in centres for teaching and learning	H	H
m.	Kept a journal on teaching and learning	H	H
n.	Had a teaching mentor(s)	Ħ	Ħ
0.	Been a teaching mentor	Ħ	Ħ
р.	Consulted/read/reviewed a book or journal on teaching	Ħ	Ħ
q.	Visited centres for teaching and learning (casual drop-in)	Ħ	Ħ
r.	Conducted research on teaching	Ħ	Ħ
s.	Other	Ħ	Ħ
acti	n the last four months, have you participated in any of the following types of vities? ase check Yes or No for each activity.	teaching	j-related
		Yes	No
a.	Workshop(s) on teaching		
b.	Course(s) on teaching		
C.	Conference(s) on teaching		
d.	Individual teaching consultation(s)		
e.	Special lectures on teaching	Ш	Ц
f.	Had a teaching mentor(s)	\sqcup	
g.	Been a teaching mentor	\sqcup	╚
h.	Other teaching certificate(s)/certification(s)	Ш	╚
i.	Had informal discussions with peers about teaching	\sqcup	닏
j.	Had informal discussions with staff in centres for teaching and learning	\sqcup	닏
k.	Informal discussions with staff in centres		닏
I.	Kept a journal on teaching and learning	\sqcup	닏
m.	Consult/read/review a book or journal on teaching	닏	닏
n	Visited centres for teaching and learning (casual dron-in)		1 1

o. p.	Conducted research on teaching Other		
If you	u have chosen "other", please specify		
This subject response description	roaches to Teaching Inventory Revised (Trigwell, Prosser & Ginns, 2005) inventory is designed to explore the way that academics go about teaching in a spect or course. This may mean that your responses to these items in one context matching on the contexts or subjects. For this reasonable your context based on a course you typically teach.	y be d	ifferent to the
10) F	Please describe the subject/course/year of your response:		
11) (Questions from the Approaches to Teaching Inventory Revised (Trigwell et al., 2005	·)	
This think NOT some state state Focus	ching Perspectives Inventory (Pratt, 1998) inventory will help you identify your perspectives on teaching. As you consider the factor of specific content and learners and the settings in which you meet them for instruct E: Because these statements represent contrasting views of teaching and learning, e of them, but you must logically disagree with certain others. Be sure to discriminate ments that are both consistent with and contrary to your personal views. You can ements. Is on Learners, Content and Setting: Remember, the TPI is most accurate if you state a specific content and group of learners throughout the inventory. If you are not primuctor, focus on a specific situation in which you have important educational respons	ction and you water betward to a war war war war war war war war war w	nd learning. vill agree with veen pree with all ssed on the a teacher or
	The specific content that I teach them is:		
13-1	5) ² Pratt & Collins (2013) Teaching Perspectives Inventory at http://www.teachingpe-	<u>erspec</u>	tives.com

² The TPI consists of three blocks of questions. On our survey, each block was numbered (i.e., 13, 14, and 15).

16) In the next phase of this research project, we will be conducting for who have completed the Instructional Skills Workshop. Would you be about participating in a 45-60 minute focus group or interview about y	willing to have us contact you
☐ Yes ☐ No	
17) If you wish to enter the draw for one of two Apple iPads, please ch	eck the box below.
 ☐ Yes, please enter me in the draw ☐ No, I am not interested If you wish to enter the draw for one of two Apple iPads, please enter your 	email address in the snace helow
It will also be used to contact you in four months' time to participate in Part responses are confidential. Email address:	•

Appendix D – Additional Demographic Information

Table D1: Disciplines Represented (Number of Participants)

Discipline	ISW	Non-ISW
Arts and Humanities	8	4
Health and Medical Sciences	4	3
Education	1	2
Engineering	3	2
Libraries	3	0
Science	3	6
Social Science and Business	7	3
Other/Unknown	10	3
Trades	3	0
TOTAL	42	23

Table D2: The Involvement of ISW and Non-ISW Participants in Various Teaching Activities (Number and Percent)

ACTIVITY	ISW			Non-ISW		
	YES YES TOTAL		YES	YES	TOTAL	
	%	(N)	(N)	%	(N)	(N)
TIME 1						
Experience as a graduate teaching assistant (grading assignments, conducting seminar(s), lecture(s) or lab(s)	70.3	26	37	78.3	18	23
Graduate teaching assistant workshop(s)	47.2	17	36	43.5	10	23
Graduate student course(s) in teaching and learning	43.2	16	37	52.4	11	21
Workshop(s) on teaching	71.4	20	28	100	13	13
Course(s) on teaching	67.6	25	37	47.6	10	21
Conference(s) on teaching	63.2	24	38	43.5	10	23
Individual teaching consultation(s)	30.3	10	33	52.4	11	21
Special lectures on teaching	60.0	21	35	40.9	9	22
K-12 teacher education training (BEd or equivalent)	15.2	5	33	19	4	21
Other teaching certificate(s)/certification(s)	45.7	16	35	14.3	3	21
Informal discussions with peers about teaching	100.0	41	41	95.7	22	23
Informal discussions with staff in centres for teaching and learning	76.9	30	39	59.1	13	22
Kept a journal on teaching and learning	24.2	8	33	22.7	5	22
Had a teaching mentor(s)	37.1	13	35	38.1	8	21
Been a teaching mentor	27.8	10	36	33.3	7	21
Consulted/read/reviewed a book or journal on teaching	70.3	26	37	59.1	13	22
Visited centres for teaching and learning (casual dropin)	55.6	20	36	36.4	8	22
Conducted research on teaching	38.5	10	26	41.7	5	12
Other	21.1	4	19	6.3	1	16

Appendix E – Focus Group Framing, Interview Questions and Demographic Questionnaire

Framing – 5-10 minutes

Hi, I'm _____, and I want to welcome you to this focus group about your experience in the (ISW). Thank you for taking the time to come to this session.

The feedback that you give us will be used to examine the impact of the program and learn more about how faculty members use what they learn during the program, in their teaching afterwards. The session will take approximately 60 minutes, and will be an informal conversation, where we will ask you about your experience in the program (ISW) and how this course may have influenced your knowledge and approach to teaching.

The session will be audio recorded. Parts of the recording may be transcribed, after the study all recordings and transcripts will be destroyed. No names will be recorded or transcribed so that your identity will not be revealed through the transcriptions.

Have participants identify themselves. (I invite you to introduce yourself to the group, let us know your name and....).

Remind participants of focus group norms:

- 1. Allow one person to speak at a time.
- 2. The focus group is a group event. This means that while confidentiality of all the information given by the participants will be protected by the researchers themselves, this information will be heard by all the participants and therefore will not be strictly confidential.
- 3. How much you participate and what you choose to share and contribute is up to you and you can decide to stop participating in the focus group at any time, without any penalty, and no further information will be collected from you.

Please take a moment to look over the Informed Consent Form. It is the same as the one you agreed to for the survey. If you still wish to continue with the focus group, please sign and hand in the form. A copy of the Letter of Information is for you to keep.

Does anyone have any questions that I could answer or clarify before we start?

Focus Group C	
Part One	Perceptions of the Program (15 minutes)
	Q 1: What were your main reasons for enrolling in ISW? (5min)
	Probe 1: What did you hope to get out of the program?
	Probe 1: What did you hope to get out of the program? Probe 2: How did the program meet your expectations?
	1 1000 2. How aid the program most your expectations.
	Q 2: What were the most beneficial parts of the program for you? (5 min)
	Probe 1: What were the highlights of the program?
	Q 3: What did you learn that you did not know before the program (5 min) • Probe for concrete examples
Part Two	Influence of Program on Teaching (40 minutes)
	Question 4: Of the teaching approaches, ideas and activities that you have
	learned in the program, what have you used in your teaching or in
	communicating with students in tutorials, office hours or labs?
	- Probe 1: How did that work in the close? Did it work as expected?
	 Probe 1: How did that work in the class? Did it work as expected? Probe 2: How has your approach to teaching changed?
	 Probe 2: Now has your approach to teaching changed: Probe 3: What has the response to your teaching been from your students?
	PROBE FOR CONCRETE EXAMPLES
	Question 5: Any other comments you would like to add?
Part Three	Focus Group Demographics – General Questionnaire (5 minutes)
	Please fill out these demographic questions so that we have an idea of the level of
Paper Survey	teaching experience in our focus group sample, and give us a bit more information
of Participant	about what other teaching programs you have attended.
Demographics Concluding	Thank you very much for participating in this focus group! Your opinions and
Thank You	suggestions are going to be very helpful. Again, everything you said today will be held confidential by the research team; we will destroy the recording after we have transcribed selected sections. We will not use any names when we discuss what you have told us and we won't be able to link you to
	anything on the general questionnaire. Thanks again for your help today!

Focus Group Demographic Questionnaire	
1) Gender:	
Male	
Female	
2) Please state your department or faculty/school:	
3) Please indicate your current job title:	
Graduate Student	
Lecturer	
Instructor	
Assistant Professor Associate Professor	
Full Professor	
Other	
If you have chosen "other", please specify:	
4) How much teaching experience do you have as a faculty member?	
None	
Less than 1 year to 4 years	
5 to 9 years	
10 to 14 years	
15 to 19 years	
20 to 24 years 25 to 29 years	
30+ years	
5) What kind of appointment do you have?	
Tenured faculty	
Tenure track Contract / Sessional	
Other	
If you have chosen "other", please specify:	

Appendix F – Supplemental Tables

Table F1. Means, Standard Deviations and Significance Tests at Time 1 and Time 2 for the Approaches to Teaching Inventory-Revised (ATI-R) and Teaching Perspectives Inventory (TPI) Subscales and Total TPI Scale for ISW Participants by University and College¹

SD .599	Mean	SD	
	0.75		
	0.75		
	0.75		
	3.75	.345	t(52) = -2.57, p = .013
.580	3.42	.374	t(42) =713, ns.
.678	4.07	.567	t(89) = -1.142, ns.
.646	4.13	.448	t(42) =788, ns.
3.84	31.56	3.67	t(89) = -2.13, ns.
			t(43) =209, ns.
			l(43) =209, 113.
(3.33)	(000)	(0.0.)	
4.90	35.06	4.63	t(87) =087, ns.
4.18	36.25	3.57	t(42) = -1.44, ns.
4.28	27.71	3.75	t(85) = .172, ns.
		, ,	(40)
			t(43) = .331, ns.
(4.27)	(30.04)	(3.17)	
4.77	33.63	6.10	t(85) = .186, ns.
			t(42) =010, ns.
6.62	27.06	7.34	t(85) = .681, ns.
6.11	27.83	7.18	t(43) = .175, ns.
17.06	160.86	14.02	t(76) = .764, ns.
16.83	164.80	12.66	t(36) =070, ns.
•	3.84 (4.32) 3.45 (3.88) 4.90 4.18 4.28 (5.50) 3.32 (4.27) 4.77 5.27 6.62 6.11	.678	.678 4.07 .567 .646 4.13 .448 3.84 31.56 3.67 (4.32) (35.50) (4.12) 3.45 30.00 3.44 (3.88) (33.75) (3.87) 4.90 35.06 4.63 4.18 36.25 3.57 4.28 27.71 3.75 (5.50) (35.62) (4.83) 3.32 28.50 2.47 (4.27) (36.64) (3.17) 4.77 33.63 6.10 5.27 33.40 4.01 6.62 27.06 7.34 6.11 27.83 7.18 17.06 160.86 14.02

Notes. 1 To control for the inflation of Type I Error due to multiple comparisons, a Bonferroni correction was employed, which resulted in

the standard of significance to be .013 (i.e., .05/4) for the ATI-R subscales and .005 (i.e., .05/10) for the TPI subscales.

The means and standard deviations for the Transmission and Developmental subscales of the TPI reflect the 8- and 7-item versions, employed throughout our analyses. To be able to compare the descriptive statistics of these two subscales to the other TPI subscales, each of which is composed of 9 items, the means and standard deviations adjusted to reflect 9-item versions of the two subscales are provided in parentheses below the unadjusted means and standard deviations.

Table F2: Means and Standard Deviations for the Two Approaches to Teaching Subscales at Time 1 and Time 2

	Time 1		Tim	e 2
ATI Subscale	Mean	SD	Mean	SD
ITTF	3.44	.587	3.32	.581
CCSF	3.93	.630	3.94	.670

Table F3. Means and Standard Deviations for the Two Approaches to Teaching Subscales for the ISW and Non-ISW Participants

	ISW		Non-ISW	
ATI Subscale	Mean	SD	Mean	SD
ITTF	3.45	.491	3.26	.629
CCSF	4.00	.526	3.82	.740

Table F4. Means, Standard Deviations and Significance Tests at Time 1 and Time 2 for the TPI **Subscales and Total TPI Scale**

TPI Subscale/Scale	Time 1		Time 2		
	Mean	SD	Mean	SD	
Transmission ¹	29.69	3.598	29.53	3.475	F(1, 68) = 0.30, ns., partial
	(33.40)	(4.047)	(33.220)	(3.910)	eta squared = .004
Apprenticeship	34.15	4.942	34.34	4.195	F(1, 66) = 0.01, ns., partial eta squared = .000
Developmental ¹	28.13	3.900	27.94	3.582	NA ²
•	(36.17)	(4.358)	(35.92)	(4.606)	
Nurturing	32.56	5.012	32.28	5.990	F(1, 66) = 0.89, <i>ns.</i> partial eta squared = .013
Social Reform	26.29	6.920	26.75	6.751	NA ²
Total TPI Score	159.98	16.189	160.53	17.059	NA ²

¹ Eight- and 7-item versions of the Transmission and Developmental subscales, respectively, were used for these analyses, not the original 9-item versions. To be able to compare the descriptive statistics of these two subscales to the other TPI subscales, each of which is composed of 9 items, the means and standard deviations adjusted to reflect 9-item versions of the two subscales are provided in parentheses below the unadjusted means and standard deviations.

When an interaction is evident, main effect differences are not meaningful (Gardner, 2001) and, thus, are not addressed in detail here.

For this analysis, we are applying the same standard to trends.

